



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10 .	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,529		07/29/2003	Hardayal Singh Gill	HSJ920030016US4 (HITG.056	6071
51298	7590	06/09/2005		EXAMINER	
		MAUNU PLLC ND DRIVE	WATKO, JU	JLIE ANNE	
SUITE 390				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. PAU	ST. PAUL, MN 55120				
				DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/629,529	GILL ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Julie Anne Watko	2653					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 February 2005</u> .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 9-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
3) 因 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/29/2003</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on February 14, 2005.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because the numbers and letters are small. See especially Figs. 6d-8. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2653

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the elected claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 9-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terms "greater" and "stronger" in claims 9, 17 and 25 are relative terms which render the claims indefinite. The terms "greater" and "stronger" are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear with respect to what reference level the limitations are to be compared.

The term "increased" in claims 11 and 19 is a relative term which renders the claims indefinite. The term "increased" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear with respect to what reference level the limitation is to be compared.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,529

Art Unit: 2653

The term "large" in claims 15-16 and 23-24 is a relative term which renders the claims indefinite. The term "large" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear with respect to what reference level the limitation is to be compared.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the self-pinned layer" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim 21 recites the limitation "the self-pinned layer" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Other rejected claims are indefinite because they depend from indefinite claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 9, 12, 17, 20 and 25, to the extent understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Carey et al (US PAP No. 2003/0137781 A1).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the

Art Unit: 2653

inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

As recited in independent claims 9, 17 and 25, to the extent understood, Carey et al show a self-pinned abutted junction magnetic read sensor (see Fig. 22, for example), comprising a free layer 212 having a first end and a second end defining a width selected to form a desired trackwidth ("SENSOR STRIPE HEIGHT", see Fig. 22); and an extended self-pinned bias layer 226 extending beyond the ends of the free layer, the self-pinned bias layer extending beyond the free layer (inherently, to the extent understood) increasing the volume of the extended self-pinned bias layer to provide greater thermal stability and stronger pinning of the free layer.

As recited in claim 17, in addition to the above teachings, Carey et al show a magnetic storage system (see Figs. 1 and 3) comprising a moveable magnetic storage medium 34 for storing data thereon, an actuator (including 46 and 47) positionable relative to the moveable magnetic storage medium; and a magnetoresistive sensor 74, coupled to the actuator, for reading data from the magnetic recording medium when position to a desired location by the actuator.

As recited in claims 12 and 20, Carey et al show a spacer layer 224 formed between the free layer and the self-pinned bias layer.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 10-11, 13-16, 18-19 and 21-24 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2653

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Coffey et al (US Pat. No. 5583725) teach that a step of annealing AFM pinning layers in a SVMR head can cause interdiffusion of other materials into a free layer, decreasing magnetoresistance (see col. 2, line 50-col. 3, line 12), and show a CIP SVMR head with self-pinned layers 70 (see Fig. 5).

Noma et al (US PAP No. 2002/0044397) show an SVMR element portion forming the body of the SVMR head 10 including an underlying layer 12, a hard ferromagnetic layer 13, an antiparallel coupling intermediate layer 14, a pinned magnetic layer 15, a nonmagnetic layer 16, and a free magnetic layer 17 on an insulating aluminum or ceramic substrate 11, laminated in this order from the bottom (see ¶ 0048; see also Fig. 5).

- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Anne Watko whose telephone number is (571) 272-7597. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues. & Thurs. until 9PM, Wed. & Fri. until 5PM.

Art Unit: 2653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

June 6, 2005 JAW Julie Anne Watko Primary Examiner Art Unit 2653